

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,810		12/03/2001	Steve Wong	BEA0005.01 9402	
27187	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER &				DEBERADINI	S, ROBERT L
205 W. JEF SUITE 250	FERSON I	BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTH BE	ND, IN	46601		2836	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Mo.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ny
•	10/005,810	WONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert DeBeradinis	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	SEDIVIO CETTO EVOIDE A MA	NATURO EDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FITTE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 August 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,20-22 and 25-35</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9,20-22 and 25-35</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 December 200	<u>1</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document. 2. Certified copies of the priority document. 3. Copies of the certified	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been r	plication No	
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S 		Mail Dateormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

Application/Control Number: 10/005,810

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9, 20-22 and 25-35 in the reply filed on 6/23/04 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 20-22, 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by ARMBRUSTER 6,374,447.

Regarding claims 1, 4, 25, 26, 30, .

ARMBRUSTER discloses a hand held washing unit motor drive comprising a housing (40) having an upper battery receiving cavity and a lower motor receiving cavity (32), a motor received in lower cavity (figure 2), electrical contacts positioned within said upper cavity being electrically connected to said motor (inherent to provide battery power to motor) a linkage drivingly connected to said motor (see figures), and an output drive member connected to said linkage (38);

An elongated handle (28) connected to said motor drive unit for grasping while in use;

A battery pack receivable in said upper cavity, said battery pack having a housing for receipt of at least one battery, said battery being electrically connected to battery contacts external of said housing, said battery being in alignment with said motor contacts (inherent in the design of a switch); and a switch interconnection

Application/Control Number: 10/005,810

Art Unit: 2836

between said battery and motor, for switching power between the battery and motor (see figures); whereby, upon installation of said battery pack into said upper cavity, said battery contacts electrically engage said motor contacts, electrically interconnecting said battery to said motor (inherent means to supply power to the motor).

Regarding claim 2.

ARMBRUSTER discloses wherein said motor drive axis and said output drive member are aligned along a common axis (38 is in alignment with the motor shaft).

Regarding claims 20-22.

ARMBRUSTER discloses a hand held washing unit comprising the claimed motor drive unit including a yoke attached to said motor drive unit and an elongated handle connected to said yoke for grasping while in use, said yoke having a locing mechanism cooperable between said yoke and drive unit, said locking mechanism having a plurality of locking positions, locking said motor drive unit in a plurality of positions (figures 3, 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5-8, 27, 29, 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ARMBRUSTER 6,374,447.

Regarding claims 3, 27.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,810

Art Unit: 2836

ARMBRUSTER discloses wherein said upper cavity is 90 Degrees within said common axis, such that the batteries are installed perpendicular to said common axis.

ARMBRUSTER does not disclose wherein said upper cavity is in alignment with said common axis, such that said battery is installed along said common axis.

The Examiner takes official notice. Battery pack design is well known in the art, it would have been obvious to modify the battery pack disclosed by ARMBRUSTER to align with the common axis. The motivation would be to reduce the width of the washing unit (column 6, first paragraph).

Regarding claims 5-8, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34.

ARMBRUSTER discloses a hand held washing unit as claimed in claim 1.

ARMBRUSTER is silent as to claims 5-8, 28, 31-34.

The Examiner takes official notice. Claims 5-8 are obvious alterations of the basic battery power hand held washing unit disclosed by ARMBRUSTER.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention modify the hand held washing unit to include some or all the above alterations. The motivation would be to solve a mechanical size problem (column 6, first paragraph).

Claims 9, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ARMBRUSTER 6,374,447 in view of HOSAKA 3,871,472.

Regarding claims 9, 35.

ARMBRUSTER discloses a hand held washing unit as claimed in claim 6.

ARMBRUSTER does not disclose wherein said switch includes a full power position and a partial power position, said partial power position having silicon rectifier diodes in series therewith, for controlling the voltage drop.

HOSAKA discloses a silicon diode in series with a load will drop the voltage supplied to the load approximately 0.6 to 1.0 volts (column 4, lines 45-55).

The Examiner takes official notice. It is well known in the art of DC motor control the speed is a directly proportional to voltage, therefore the effect of reducing the supply voltage to the DC motor will reduce the speed of the motor.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to switch a diode in series with the motor. The motivation would be to reduce the speed of the motor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

RLD

DECEMBER 7, 2004

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER